App F IX 4

1933
(ml)

Previous References
ml 170-32-(1-3)

File No 30/-33
Serial Nos 1-2

Later References
Mc 165-34 (1-2)
ml 165-34 (55)
ml 13-36-89,110,130,132

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for Aug 34

SUBJECT

Replacing the five overhead lights along the Race Course Road, Mysore, by ten ornamental lights

---

Al 2

To Presdt. Mysore city mcl
Comptroller
Secy to Govt. Electrical Dept
Chief Electrical Engineer

Go no L 850-52 Dated 3-8-34
directs that as a special case half the charges on account of the lights referred to above viz Rs 2257 be borne by the government

Bl 19 11 34

Replacing 5 overhead lights in the Race Course Road, by 10 ornamental lights

4384

General and Revenue Secretariat	File No 4384 I of 193	[App F III—2

Serial No

Letter no Ly T 1675 dated 19/3/34 from the President city municipal corporation, stating that it is proposed to replace the existing 5 overhead lights along the Race Course Road by 10 ornamental lights and that the Superintendent Power & lighting Bombay who was addressed in regard to the payment of royalty on these lights has written to say that Rs 337-8-0 should be paid, besides the usual charges of Rs 112-8-0 now being paid in respect of 5 overhead lights and requesting that in view of the financial condition of the municipality and the purpose for which the lights are put, the 10 ornamental lights (to be installed) may be treated as Govt lights and debit the extra royalty of Rs 337-8-0 to General Revenues the municipality continuing to pay Rs 112-8-0 being the royalty for the existing 5 overhead lights

1 Submitted for orders. The Secy Electrical Deptt and the Fuel Secy may if approved be consulted

83 AW
22 3 34

2 The arrangement proposed seems to be permissible under a Govt order referred to by the Chief Electrical Engineer in the file re Electric charges in respect of the Silver Jubilee Clock Tower. A copy of the order may be put up

Asst 31/3

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0131—GPB—15 000—12 10-33

L 2 34 F

File No | of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

Forwarded with compliments unofficially to the Secretary to Govt, Electrical Department, for favor of opinion on the request of the Municipality

Gen M 201-33
Dy 5-4-34

By order
Asubbarao
For Chief Secretary

5 4 34

This file has been linked to the file re charges in respect of the Silver Jubilee Clock Tower and sent to the Secy & Dept unofficially along with it but has since been returned without any remarks. If approved this may again be sent to the Superintending Electrical Engineer for opinion

(L 42329
G O Ms 201 / 33
Dy 5/4

ELF
Regd No 1353
Dt 28/4/34

Scott
(Electrical Secty)

Asst
24/4

Exam 21/4

Other 26/4 Supdg 26/4/34

27/4/34

ELECTRICAL SECRETARIAT File No. of 193

Serial No

2505 1999
10/5/1934

(Pub wks) D

K

Submits with a copy of the order no L 8038-40 mt 125-33-4 of 7/5/34 relating to the recovery of charges in respect of the lights on the Silver Jubilee clock Tower (Ref Para 2)

Please put up also a copy of G.O no L 6452-4 Fin 161-22-4 & G/1414-26

11/5

A copy of G.O of 14-4-26 is put up as directed

12/5

D

W

In the case of the ornamental lights in Bale Hiss Layam it was ordered that the royalty had to be paid by the Mcty as they were to be installed at the instance of the latter. According to the G.O of 14-4-26 the royalty will be chargable to Govt only if the lights are installed at their instance

In the present case the President wants the extra royalty of Rs 337-8-0 to be debited to Govt treating

WD 1858—(PB—2 000—31 3-33

4f 884/L

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No — of 1933-34 — [App F III—

Serial No

them as Gate lights the reason given is that the proposed lights are mainly for the improvement of the locality which is treated by distinguished gentry Durry Puttars & Dasara ⊗

12 The Secy, Electrical Dept, however considers that as the lights are to be installed at the instance of the Mcty the royalty has to be received from the latter

Morader

Chefdeey)

13 The Municipality is now paying a sum of Rs 1128 for the overhead lights As a result of 10 ornamental lights, the above Municipality will have to pay Rs 450 Then it results a heavy sum for the Municipality & Hence the expense may not be met by the Municipality The Govt in equal proportion Then will mean an addn of Rs 112/8 to the sum that the Mcty is now paying

Dewan Two Fnc Sy. 22/5/34 A/L

28/5/34

⊗ and that as the Mcty is now paying Rs 112 8 as account of the existing five overhead lights it is unable to bear any more burden in its present financial condition

Pl speak RS 16/5/34

the installation of

Fc 3338 22/5 FM

by the existing rules contemplate only two classes of cases -

(i) cases where ornamental lights are installed at the instance of the Municipality

(ii) cases where such (ornamental) lights are installed at the instance of Government

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0434—GPB—15 000—12 10-33

In

Serial No

In the former case the Royalty is to be borne by the Municipality and in the latter case 'General Administration" has to bear it the actual lighting charges that the Municipality was incurring at the time being recovered from it as a contribution

4) According to the prescribed rules the present case falls under (1) and the Royalty is therefore to be borne by the Municipality and not by the Government.

5) The procedure suggested by the Chief Secretary that half the charges may be borne by the Municipality and half by Government is a new one and the acceptance of it is likely to lead to complications and to further increase of expenditure under "General Administration" I therefore think that all cases should be brought under one of the two classes mentioned above Neither the Municipality nor the Government is now in a satisfactory financial condition in which further expenditure of an optional character could be undertaken The Municipality is showing a Minus Balance

6) The expenditure under 'General Administration' on account of Electric lighting has been increasing year after year and it is very necessary that further increases under it should not be allowed. To provide an automatic check I would suggest that the total number of ornamental lights already installed at Mysore at the instance of Government may be regarded as the maximum and not increased and that if the provision of ornamental lights in any particular place is considered more necessary and desirable the necessary lights may be shifted to it from the less important places keeping the total

Serial No

Fe 33387

total number unchanged if this is done the lights will get into places considered most necessary and the expenditure to be borne by Government will be automatically limited In the present financial condition it cannot be allowed to go on increasing indefinitely

Thesdoes not meet the present case RR 717

DEAN'S OFFICE 10 JUL 1934 No 1447

RR 6/7 7/7/34

Dewan

18 The suggestion of Mr Sloss may be borne in mind & the Head Engineer to ensure the lights are not installed, the Chief Secretary's suggestion may be accepted as a special case

14-7-34 RR 15/7

by Pleader-

20 Submitted with a draft for approval.

1917 Chief Secy) 20/7

Issue after perusal by the Hon'ble Sir

RR 24/7/34

CHIEF SECRETARY 23 JULY 1934

NOTE—Continue on Sheet

Fe 17 30 JUL 1934 GENL & REV SECTT 22/7/34

Fin Secy) WD 01536—GPB—7500—5-4 34 24/

25/7/34

Issued 30/7 RR 30/7/34 25/7 26/7/34

Int File No 5366/2 30/ of 1933

General and Revenue Secretariat

Forwd rect 850-3 of 3/8/34 to Govt Civil medl reserve, amptn fees Electrical Supdt Chief Electrical Engr in regd Passenger in the matter at Para 13 Wd supra

2

1
B
M
Ye

4384

Office of the President,
City Municipal Council, Mysore

No GT 1675 ✓ Ml 301-33-1
Enc

Dated 19th March 1934

19 MAR 1934

GEN SECT
22 MAR 1934
G BRH

From

B T Kesavaiengar Esq , B A
President City Municipal Council,
Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bar

Sir

I have the honour to state that it is proposed to replace the existing 5 Overhead lights along the Race Course Road by 10 Ornamental lights and with this object the Superintendent, Power & Lighting Mysore was addressed to intimate the difference in the royalty payable on account of proposal In reply the - Superintendent, wrote to say that Rs 337-8-0 should be paid, besides the usual charges of Rs 112-8-0 now being paid in respect of 5 Overhead lights A copy of his letter is enclosed for reference

In this connection, I wish to state that the Ornamental lights now proposed are mainly for the improvement of the locality which is being resorted to by distinguished guests from all parts of India during the Birth day and Dasara Festivities

The Municipality is at present paying a royalty of - Rs 112-8-0 on account of the existing 5 Overhead lights in the locality and it is unable to bear any more burden in its present financial conditions

I therefore request that the Government may be pleased to treat the 10 Ornamental lights now proposed to be installed as Government lights and debit the extra royalty of Rs 337-8-0 to General Revenues, the Municipality continuing to pay Rs 112-8-0

P T O

being the royalty fixed for the existing 5 Overhead lights

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President-in-charge

S/2

MRS

Letter No 35033 dated 27th January 1934 from the Superintendent Power and Lighting, Mysore, to the President, City Municipal Council Mysore

---

Street lights

Referring to your No E 1 456 of 5/8th instant, I have the honour to inform you that the extra p Royalty to be paid by you for replacing the 5 overhead lights in Narasimharaja Boulivard Road by 10 Ornamental lights will be Rs 337-8-0 per year, as detailed under -

Royalty on 10 Ornamentql lights at Rs 45/- a year is at every 150 ft span	Rs	450	0 0
Less Royalty on the 5 overhead lights to be removed at Rs 22-8-0, for replacement by Ornamental lights	"	112	8 0
Extra royalty involved is	"	337	8 0

The Royalty on the 8 Ornamental lights to be installed in the four ~~xxx~~ islands at the Gandhi Square, one at either end will be at Rs 45/- per light per year Rs 360/-

On hearing from you, that you are agreeable to pay Rs 697-8-0 (Rs 337-8-0 plus Rs 360-0-0) yearly on the lights in question, further action will be taken

---

"Copy"

Mohammad

President in-charge

785

5366 REV CEO

ELECTRICAL SECRETARIAT | File No | of 193

21 MAY 1934

LB & P'ARDY

Serial No

EDS 2093
17 5 34
Enclosure

Subject Replacing 5 overhead lights by 10 ornamental lights in the Race Course Road, Mysore & (2) providing 2 ornamental lights on each of the 4 islands of Gandhi Square Mysore

Reference DC letter No G D 203; dated the 9th May 1934 from the President Mysore Municipality & the Private Secy to the Dewan of Mysore

Forwarded with Compliments to the Chief Secretary to Government for favour of necessary action in continuation of this office D C Memo No 1999 dated the 10th May 1934

2 Since the installation of the ornamental lights in the Gandhi Square is stated to have been ordered by Govt, the royalty thereon may kindly be remitted from General Administration

Secretary to Govt
Elec Deptt

WD 1858—C PB—2 000—31 3 33

B T KESAVA IYENGAR ESQ B.A
PRESIDENT
D O No G-T 1037

DEWAN'S OFFICE
11 MAY 1934
No 1174

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE
Dated 9th May 1934

ELECTRICAL SECRETARIAT
BANGALORE
Reg No 1428
Date 11/5/34

Dear Mr Singaravelu Mudaliar,

I write to state that a proposal was put forward that Ornamental lights may be installed on the road near the Race Course (or Narasimharaja Bouliward Road) in place of the existing 5 overhead lights, and a reference was made to the Superintendent Mysore Power and Lighting in the matter In reply, the Superintendent stated that the Royalty on 10 Ornamental lights to be installed would be Rs 450/-, that the extra amount payable on the account exclusive of the Royalty that is being paid on the existing 5 overhead lights would be Rs 337-8-0, and that if the Municipality agreed to bear this extra cost, further action would be taken As the Municipality is unable to bear the extra Royalty in its present financial circumstances, a reference was made to Government in this office letter No G T 1675 dated 19-3-1934 requesting that the extra cost of Rs 337-8-0 may be ordered to be debited to General Revenues

Similarly, the Dewan during his inspection directed that each of the 4 islands in Gandhi Square may be provided with two Ornamental lights, one at either end, and that the lighting of the cluster of 5 lights might be deferred for the present

In this case also, the Superintendent, Mysore Power and Lighting, states that if the Municipality agree to bear the Royalty of Rs 360/- on the 8 lights at Rs 45 per light, action would be taken It is needless to point out that the lighting charges borne by the Municipality are far beyond the means of the Municipality, the income from lighting tax being about Rs 17,000 against an expenditure of Rs 45,000 annually on about 1,900 lights both Ornamental and overhead

It is desirable that the 10 Ornamental lights on the road near the Race Course should be installed before the next races, and

it is also necessary to provide the 4 islands in Gandhi Square with Ornamental lights, two in each island, before the next Birthday Festivities

I request you therefore to kindly submit these facts to the Dewan, and obtain his orders to issue instructions from Government to the Chielf Electrical Engineer to instal immediatel 10 Ornamental lights in the road near the Race Course and 8 Ornamental lights in the 4 islands in Gandhi Square

Yours sincerely,

To

T Singaravelu Mudaliar, Esq , B A ,B L ,
Private Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore

PVR

G R S App F VI—G 21

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to L 43824

Section Rul

File No 301-33

Serial No 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rul)

the 193

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 3 - 8 - 1934

Signed by M.A.S.Rao

Read— Letter no. G J - 1675 dated 19th March 1934 from the President, City Rural, Mysore, stating that it is proposed to replace the existing 5 overhead lights along the Race Course Road by 10 ornamental lights and that the Superintendent Power and Lighting, Mysore, who was addressed in the matter of payment of royalty on the lights has written to say that a sum of Rs 337-0-0 should be paid, besides the usual charges of Rs 112-0-0 now being paid on

P T O

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English part ?

(i) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Ga ette kannada part ?

respect of Ornamental lights are
requesting that in view of the
slender financial condition of
the municipality and the purpose
for which the lights are put up,
the Ornamental lights may
be treated as Street lights and
debit the extra royalty of Rs.337-8-0 allowed
to General Revenues, the Municipality
Continuing to pay Rs.112-8-0 as at present
being the royalty for the existing
Ornamental lights.

Order 2500-3-13-24

The existing rules contemplate
only two classes of cases -

(i) Cases where ornamental
lights are installed at the instance
of the Municipality
(ii) Cases where such ornamental
lights are installed at the instance
of Govt.

In the former case the royalty (now
is to be borne by the Municipality
and in the latter by General administration
as in the present

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

~~has to bear it~~, the actual lighting charges that the municipality would be incurring at the time being received from it as a contribution ~~According to the prescribed rule~~ The present case falls under clause (1) and the royalty is therefore to be borne by the municipality and not by the Govt ~~But~~ In view however of the present financial condition of the municipality, that Govt are prepared to direct that, as a special case ~~regards the lighting~~ ~~now introduced~~ half the charges on account of the lights in question, viz Rs 2257- ~~Rs~~ be borne by the Municipality and the other half by Govt, as a special case;

(2) As the extension under "general administration" on account of electric lighting has been increasing year after year, Govt consider it necessary that future ~~under it~~ should not be allowed and accordingly direct that the

otal number of lights already
stalled at Mysore at the instance
Govt may be regarded as the
axmum and not increased
and that if the provision of
ornamental lights in any particular
place is considered more necessary
and desirable, the necessary
lights may be shifted to it
from less important places
keeping the total number
unchanged of this is done,
the lights will get into places
considered most necessary and
the expenditure to be borne by
Govt will be automatically limited
The President, anyone else may be
requested to have this suggestion
in mind in respect of lights to be
installed in future.

RR
24/7/34

Attn 26/7 Chief Secy to Govt
Engineer Elec- trical Engrs
The Controller to Govt
Mysore Electrical Depts

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read —

Letter No C T 1675 dated 19th March 1934 from the President City Municipal Council Mysore stating that it is proposed to replace the existing five overhead lights along the Race Course Road by ten ornamental lights and that the Superintendent Power and Lighting Mysore who was addressed in the matter of payment of royalty on these lights has written to say that a sum of Rs 337-8-0 should be paid besides the usual charges of Rs 112-8-0 now being paid in respect of five overhead lights, and requesting that in view of the slender financial condition of the Municipality and the purpose for which the lights are put up the ornamental lights may be treated as Government lights and the extra royalty of Rs 337-8-0 debited to General Revenues the Municipality continuing to pay Rs 112-8-0 as at present

---

Order No L 850-3/Rul 301 332

Dated Bangalore the 31st July 1934

The existing rules contemplate only two classes of cases -

(i) Cases where ornamental lights are installed at the instance of the Municipality

(ii) Cases where such ornamental lights are installed at the instance of Government

In the former case the royalty is to be borne by the Municipality and in the latter it is to be debited to the provision under "General Administration" in the State Budget, the actual lighting charges that the Municipality was incurring at the time being continued to be recovered from it as a contribution The present case falls under class (i) and the royalty is therefore to be borne by the Municipality and not by the Government In view however of the present financial condition of the Municipality, Government are pleased to direct that, as a special case half the charges on account of the lights in question viz Rs 225/- be borne by the

Municipality and the other half by Government

(2) As the expenditure under "General Administration" on account of electric lighting has been increasing year after year Government consider it necessary that further increases under it should not be allowed and that the total number of lights already installed at [illegible] as the maxim[illegible] ornamental l[illegible] necessary an[illegible] it from less[illegible] If this is done the lights will get into places considered most necessary and the expenditure to be borne by Government will be automatically limited The President Mysore City Municipal Council is requested to have this suggestion in mind in respect of lights to be installed in future

Asuvaraj
Jones
Chief Secretary to Government

To

The President City Municipal Council Mysore
The Comptroller to Government
The Secretary to Government, Electrical Department
The Chief Electrical Engineer

R G 6